ORIGINAL



1 2 3

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

## BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION RECEIVED

COMMISSIONERS 2011 JUN 30 1 P 3: 43 GARY PIERCE - CHAIRMAN **BOB STUMP** AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL 4 SANDRA D. KENNEDY PAUL NEWMAN 5 **BRENDA BURNS** 6 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF 7 UNS ELECTRIC, INC. FOR APPROVAL OF ITS 2011-2012 ENERGY EFFICIENCY 8 IMPLEMENTATION PLAN. 9 10 11 Plan. This Supplement was prepared in accordance with Decision No. 72024. 12 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 30 day of June 2011. 13 UNS Electric, Inc. 14 15 16 ByMichael W. Patten 17 Roshka DeWulf & Patten, PLC One Arizona Center 18 400 East Van Buren Street, Suite 800 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 19 20 and Phillip J. Dion Melody Gilkey UNS Electric, Inc.

**DOCKETED BY** DOCKET NO. E-04204A-11-00 NOTICE OF FILING

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

JUN 8 0 2011

UNS Electric, Inc. hereby submits a Supplement to its 2012 Electric Energy Efficiency

One South Church Avenue, Suite 200 Tucson, Arizona 85701

Attorneys for UNS Electric, Inc.

1	Original and 13 copies of the foregoing filed this 30 day of June 2011 with:
2	Docket Control
3	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street
4	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
5	Copy of the foregoing hand-delivered/mailed this 30 day of June 2011 to:
6	Brian Bozzo
7	Utilities Division Arizona Corporation Commission
8	1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007
9	
10	
11	By Many Spolets
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

## Supplement to UNS Electric, Inc.'s 2012 Electric Energy Efficiency Plan

## Impact of Estimated External SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>X</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub> and Water Costs

In Decision No. 72024 (December 10, 2010), the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") "ordered that UNS Electric, Inc. shall work with stakeholders to develop appropriate metrics and monetize costs for water, Sox, PM10, and Nox emissions savings as part of the societal cost test as a supplement to its 2012 Energy Efficiency Implementation plan, but no later than July 1, 2011." In compliance with Decision No. 72024, UNS Electric, Inc. ("UNS Electric") jointly participated with Arizona Public Service Company ("APS") and Tucson Electric Power Company ("TEP") in an extended stakeholder meeting on externalities on February 24, 2011. At the meeting, interested parties from across Arizona discussed the estimated financial impacts of sulfur dioxide ("SO<sub>2</sub>"), particulate matter ("PM<sub>10"</sub>) and nitrogen oxide ("NO<sub>X</sub>"), and water usage on the environment.

With respect to estimated SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>X</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> impacts, the consensus was to use the comprehensive and current findings in the "Hidden Costs of Energy" report ("Report") by the National Academies of Sciences' National Research Council ("NRC"). The group identified several benefits to using this Report rather than generating an original one, including: (1) that the Report is based on research and conclusions that use clearly defined sources with a long list of external reviews; (2) that the Report utilized the same concentration response function and a similar value for statistical life as used by the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"); and (3) that the Report presented societal costs specific to power plants operated in both Arizona and New Mexico.

With respect to water usage, the consensus was to estimate the avoided cost of water as an opportunity cost of \$666 per acre foot in 2010 dollars.

Table 1 sets forth the estimated external costs from the Report specific to UNS Electric's resources.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Report included details for the UNS Electric Valencia Generating Station, which was used as a proxy for all remaining combustion turbines ("CTs") and future CTs. Since most of the power for UNS Electric is procured through purchase power agreements, a proxy was selected from the Report to represent the externalities from generating the purchased energy.

Table 1

FUEL	OPR NAME	FACILITY NAME	\$/Ton of SO <sub>2</sub>	\$/Ton of NO <sub>X</sub>	\$/Ton of PM <sub>10</sub>	\$/MWh of SO <sub>2</sub>	\$/MWh of NO <sub>X</sub>	\$/MWh of PM <sub>10</sub>
Gas	UNSE	Valencia (Proxy for Black Mountain Generating Facility and Future Local Area)	2,589	945	279	0.40	1.63	0,02
Gas	Calpine	South Point Energy Center (Proxy for UNSE Purchase Power)	1,855	597	179	0.00	0.03	0.00

The plant-specific details in the Report – and the estimated opportunity cost of water – were used to determine the estimated avoided future year external environmental cost of SO<sub>2</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub>, NO<sub>X</sub> and water usage based on UNS Electric's planned generation portfolio, annually, based on a dollar per megawatt hour ("MWh") basis. Table 2 sets forth those estimated annual costs for the period 2011 through 2020.

Table 2

\$/MWh (Nominal)	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
$SO_2$	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
$H_2O$	0.68	0.70	0.71	0.72	0.74	0.75	0.77	0.78	0.80	0.81
$NO_X$	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
PM <sub>10</sub>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	0.74	0.75	0.77	0.78	0.80	0.81	0.83	0.85	0.87	0.89

UNS Electric's Electric Energy Efficiency Standard Implementation Plan ("EE Plan") for 2012 (filed on January 31, 2011) did not include an analysis of the impact of these estimated costs in assessing the proposed programs. Table 3 sets forth 2012 Program Cost Details and Cost Effectiveness for all programs filed in the 2012 EE Plan both with and without the estimated values identified in this Supplement. As Table 3 demonstrates, the results of the Societal Cost Test show no change for each program when accounting for the avoided estimated external costs of SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>X</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub> and water usage.

Table 3

		Result Extern	alities	Filed Implementation Plan Results		
2012 Program Cost Details and Cost Effectiveness		Lifetime Net Benefits (\$)	Program Level Societal Cost Test	Lifetime Net Benefits (\$)	Societal Cost Test (Program Level)	
	Efficient Products	\$2,215,592	3.3	\$2,200,235	3.3	
	Appliance Recycling	\$220,083	1.7	\$217,529	1.7	
	Res. New Construction	\$504,060	1.7	\$500,837	1.7	
	Existing Homes and Audit Direct Install	\$207,259	1.1	\$196,354	1.1	
Residential	Shade Tree	\$108,976	1.9	\$107,030	1.9	
	Low Income Weatherization	\$11,293	1.0	\$10,266	1.0	
	Multi-Family	\$236,576	3.9	\$235,199	3.9	
	Subtotal	\$3,503,839	1.8	\$3,467,451	1.8	
	C&I Facilities	\$3,283,036	3.0	\$3,258,155	3.0	
	Bid for Efficiency - Pilot	\$447,402	2.7	\$443,480	2.7	
Commercial	Retro-Commissioning	\$635,005	3.8	\$630,594	3.7	
	Schools Facilities	\$493,223	4.6	\$489,990	4.6	
g big eg	Subtotal	\$4,858,667	3.1	\$4,822,219	3.1	
	Home Energy Reports	\$119,680	1.4	\$118,271	1.4	
Beispier	Behavioral Comprehensive Program	\$1,037,193	3.0	\$1,030,890	3.0	
	Subtotal	\$912,784	2.4	\$906,050	2.4	
	Education and Outreach*	\$0	N/A	\$0	N/A	
SINTE	Residental Energy Financing*	\$0	N/A	\$0	N/A	
Programs	Codes Support*	\$0	N/A	\$0	N/A	
nu tata	Program Development, Analysis and Reporting Software	-\$222,480	N/A	-\$222,480	N/A	
生态机理性态	Subtotal	-\$222,480	N/A	-\$222,480	N/A	
	Total	\$9,052,810	2.2	\$8,973,240	2.2	